

#### REMARKS

The U.S. Patent and Trademark issued an office action dated August 16, 2004. In response to this office action, applicant responds as follows:

In respect to the examiner's objection to the reference character "50" related to the grinding wheel, applicant has amended the specification and drawings to reference the grinding wheel 40. It is believed that this meets the examiner's objection to the specification and drawings in respect to the reference character 50.

In respect to the examiner's objections to the drawings under 37 CFR 83(b), applicant respectfully requests the examiner's reconsideration of this objection. Figures 2, 4, 7, 8, and 9 show the improved fixtures disconnected from any structure with a grinding wheel 50 shown for orientation (pg 9 lns 18, 24; pg 12 ln 3, etc.). Figures 1 and 3 additionally disclose the base 87 upon which the fixture resides (pg 11 ln 7) with the indexer 50 and a tailstock 60 (pg 7 ln 3). It is believed that these drawings disclose the claimed location means in conjunction with the rotor having an external developed purpose for grinding. In view of the above, applicant believes that the present drawings are complete under 37 CFR 183(b).

In respect to the examiner's objections of claim 60 based on Junker and claim 66 based on the newly cited Thurman U.S. Patent 5,379,554, applicant has cancelled these claims from the application. It is noted that the Junker reference teaches of feeler pins providing guidance while the Thurman patent teaches of circumferential gear teeth, both of which are different than the claimed invention.

Applicant has rewritten claim 61 into its indicated allowable form.

It is believed that this places the application into indicated condition for allowance.

Favorable action is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM LIGHTBODY  
William S. Lightbody, 29,557